UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,300	01/11/2006	Ravindra L. Arudi	CGL03/0502US01	7665
	7590 05/08/200 CORPORATED	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 5624			PADEN, CAROLYN A	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-5624		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,300	ARUDI, RAVINDRA L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carolyn A. Paden	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification of the sp	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a decision of the drawing and according a decision to the decision of the decision of the latest and the decision of the latest and according to the latest according t	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been recei eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-11-06.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scotti (4371451) in view of Jirjis (6207209), SenGupta (4062882) and Jirjis (6833149) taken together.

Scotti discloses lecithin-based surface release compositions. Here lecithin is dispersed in water, dimethylether and ethanol (abstract and example 1). Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 10 contemplate no particular lecithin ingredient but also contemplate more than 0% of a particular lecithin composition that is membrane separated and has a particular ratio of alkali metals to alkaline earth metals. The claims appear to differ from Scotti in the recitation of a particular membrane separated lecithin with a particular ratio of alkali metals to alkaline earth metals. Applicant admits at the top of page 3 of his specification that Jirjis (209) provides the desired lecithin of the claims. Jirjis (209) teaches separating lecithin from vegetable oil by membrane separation but does not stress lecithin recovery. But Jurjis (183) uses the same process and further discloses the recovery of lecithin

claimed lecithin.

from vegetable oils. At column 11, lines 40-57) lecithin retentate is described as containing 50-85% phospholipids and the balance being vegetable oils. The use of the lecithin product in foods is mentioned in the paragraph bridging columns 11 and 12. Treatment of lecithin with membrane separation is also described in Sen Gupta (882). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the treat use the lecithin of Jurjis in the dispersion of Scotti as an obvious a purified alternative or substitute source of lecithin. It is appreciated that the particular ratio of alkali metals to alkaline earth metals is not mentioned in the prior art but a prior art lecithin source made by the same process as the

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

claimed lecithin would be expected to have the same components as

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Scotti (4,371,451).

Scotti discloses lecithin-based surface release compositions. Here lecithin is dispersed in water, dimethylether and ethanol (abstract and example 1).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It is unclear in the specification and claims as to the basis for the ratio of alkali ingredients is in the claims. The specification does not provide any guidance as to this basis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached by dialing 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/564,300

Art Unit: 1794

1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained

from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status

information for published applications may be obtained from either Private

PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center

(EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Carolyn Paden/

Primary Examiner 1794

Page 5

.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,300 Art Unit: 1794

Page 6